NOVEMBER 2018 U.S. POLICY

CARBON PRICING PROPOSALS IN THE 115TH CONGRESS



Jason Ye, Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

Market-based policies that put a price on greenhouse gases can reduce emissions cost-effectively while driving clean energy innovation. This factsheet compares nine carbon pricing proposals introduced in the 115th Congress (2017–2018).

Carbon pricing offers a cost-effective way to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Ten states are already pricing carbon, and a number of states are considering similar action. This fact sheet summarizes and compares nine carbon pricing proposals introduced in the 115th Congress (2017–2018), highlighting similarities and differences. Seven of these proposals would establish a carbon tax (or "carbon fee") and two would establish a cap-and-dividend program (a cap-and-trade program that rebates program revenues to consumers). The nine proposals are:

- The Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act (H.R.2014) introduced by Rep. John Delaney (D-Md.) on April 6, 2017;
- America Wins Act (H.R.4209) introduced by Rep. John Larson (D-Conn.) on Nov. 1, 2017;
- The Healthy Climate and Family Security Act of 2018 (S.2352 and H.R. 4889) introduced by Sen. Chris Van Hollen (D-Md.) and Rep. Don Beyer (D-Va.) on Jan. 29, 2018;
- The American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act of 2018 (S.2368 and H.R. 4926) reintroduced by Sens. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) and Brian Schatz (D-Hawaii) and Reps. Earl Blumenauer (D-Ore.) and David Cicilline (D-R.I.) on Feb. 5, 2018;
- The Modernizing America with Rebuilding to Kickstart the Economy of the Twenty-first Century with a Historic Infrastructure-Centered Expan-

- sion Act (H.R.6463) introduced by Reps. Carlos Curbelo (R-Fla.) and Brian Fitzpatrick (R-Pa.) on July 23, 2018;
- Consumers Rebate to Ban Emissions and Boost Alternative Energy Act (H.R.6928) introduced by Rep. Jerry McNerney (D-CA) on Sept. 27, 2018;
- The Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act introduced by Reps. Ted Deutch (D-Fla.), Francis Rooney (R-Fla.), John Delaney (D-Md.), Brian Fitzpatrick (R-Pa.), and Charlie Crist (R-Fla.) on Nov. 29, 2018.

While each proposal would establish a price on carbon, they differ in terms of the emissions covered. For example, the Larson proposal would apply a tax to the carbon dioxide content of fossil fuels at a point upstream or midstream (i.e., coal mines, refineries, natural gas processing plants, or importers) while the Whitehouse-Schatz proposal would apply a tax to all greenhouse gases covered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule (not just carbon dioxide). The Curbelo proposal would apply a tax to all greenhouse gas emissions from fossil fuels and certain industrial products and processes, and the Deutch proposal would apply a carbon tax on carbon dioxide equivalent emissions from fossil fuels and a reduced carbon tax on fluorinated gases.

Other differences include the starting level of the tax, how quickly it increases over time, and how the revenue is used. The Whitehouse-Schatz proposal, for example, would set a \$50 per metric ton fee that rises at 2 percent over inflation annually until emissions are 80 percent below 2005 levels. Revenues would be used to provide an annual inflation-adjusted refundable tax credit to consumers and grants to states to compensate low-income households for impacts on energy costs. The Curbelo proposal would establish a \$24 per metric ton carbon tax that rises 2 percent above inflation per year. The proposal would also allow the carbon tax to increase \$2 per metric ton if cumulative emissions are greater than those specified in the proposal. Seventy percent of the revenues from the Curbelo proposal would go to funding the Federal Highway Trust Fund, with smaller amounts going to states for low-income households, to infrastructure projects addressing coastal flooding, to R&D projects, and to assist displaced energy workers. The Deutch proposal would establish a \$15 per metric ton carbon tax that rises \$10 annually and could rise \$15 annually if annual emission targets are not met. Revenues would be rebated to the American people as a dividend.

The proposals also differ in the treatment of greenhouse gas regulations and state programs. The Van Hollen-Beyer proposal explicitly does not preempt greenhouse gas regulations under the Clean Air Act, while the Curbelo and Deutch proposals would place a moratorium on most stationary source greenhouse gas regulations under the Clean Air Act. The Curbelo proposal would place a moratorium through 2033 (which could be lifted in 2024 and 2028 if emission reduction levels are not met), and the Deutch proposal would place a moratorium (which could be lifted starting in April 2030 and every 5 years thereafter if emission reduction targets are not met). Both the Van Hollen-Beyer and Deutch proposals also explicitly would not preempt state programs, while the Curbelo proposal would offer a declining annual credit to entities covered by both the federal tax and a state greenhouse gas program.

The following table highlights key characteristics of each proposal.

| PROPOSAL | SPONSOR(S) | CARBON PRICING MECHANISM | START DATE | REGULATING AUTHORITY |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | Rep. John Delaney (D-Md.) | Carbon Tax | Jan. 1, 2018 | U.S. Treasury Department |
| America Wins Act | Rep. John Larson (D-Conn.) | Carbon Tax | Jan. 1, 2019 | U.S. Treasury Department |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | Sen. Chris Van Hollen (D-Md.) and Rep. Don Beyer (D-Va.) | Cap and Trade | Jan. 1, 2019 | U.S. Treasury Department in consultation with EPA |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Sens. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) and Brian Schatz (D-Hawaii), and Reps. Earl Blumenauer (D-Ore.) and David Cicilline (D-R.I.) | Carbon Tax | Jan. 1, 2019 | U.S. Treasury Department in consultation with EPA |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | Reps. Carlos Curbelo (R-Fla) and Brian Fitzpatrick (R-Pa.) | Carbon Tax | Jan. 1, 2020 | U.S. Treasury Department in consultation with EPA |
| Consumers REBATE Act | Rep. Jerry McNerney (D-Calif.) | Carbon Tax | Jan. 1, 2020 | U.S. Treasury Department |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | Reps. Ted Deutch (D-Fla.), Francis Rooney (R-Fla.), John Delaney (D-Md.), Brian Fitzpatrick(R- Pa.), and Charlie Crist (R-Fla.) | Carbon Tax | 270 days after enactment | U.S. Treasury Department in consultation with EPA |

| PROPOSAL | SUBSTANCES COVERED |
|---|---|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | GHG emissions (CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O, SF ₆ , HFC, PFC) from fossil fuel products, and from entities covered under EPA's GHG Reporting Program. |
| America Wins Act | CO ₂ content of a taxable carbon substance: coal, petroleum and any petroleum products, and natural gas. CO ₂ content will be determined by the Treasury Secretary in consultation with the Secretary of Energy. |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | CO_2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion (crude oil, natural gas, coal) or any other combustible fuel sold in the United States. |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | CO ₂ equivalent emissions from fossil fuel (coal, petroleum, or natural gas) products, fluorinated gases, and GHGs covered under EPA's GHG Reporting Program. |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | CO ₂ equivalent emissions from fossil-fuel combustion and certain industrial products and processes. |
| Consumers REBATE Act | ${\rm CO_2}$ content of a taxable carbon substance: coal, petroleum, and natural gas. ${\rm CO_2}$ content will be determined by the EPA Administrator. |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | $\mathrm{CO_2}$ equivalent emissions from covered fuels: crude oil, natural gas, coal, and fluorinated gases. |

| PROPOSAL | POINT OF COVERAGE (I.E., COVERED ENTITY) |
|---|--|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | Covered entity responsible for reporting emissions under the EPA GHG Reporting Program and emit at least 25,000 tons of CO ₂ equivalent in the previous calendar year. |
| | The Treasury Secretary, in consultation with the EPA Administrator, could modify the point of coverage if it would be less burdensome and would not result in an increase in emissions. |
| America Wins Act | Covered entity means manufacturer, producer, or importer of a taxable carbon substance. |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | Covered entity is the first seller of oil, coal, or natural gas into the U.S. market. |
| | Participation in the auction of carbon permits is limited to covered entities. |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Covered entities are facilities required to report emissions under the EPA GHG Reporting Program and emit at least $25,000$ tons of CO_2 equivalent in the previous calendar year. |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | Covered fossil fuel entities include: coal mine mouth or coal preparation and processing plant, refineries, and natural gas processing plant or point of sale, and point at which imported fossil fuels enter the United States. |
| | Other covered entities include owner/operator of certain industrial facilities (initial list of 19) or owner/operator of a facility that makes or imports certain products (initial list of 8). |
| | The EPA can revise the list of source categories and producers. |
| Consumers REBATE Act | Covered entity is the wellhead, mine, or importer. of a taxable carbon substance. |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | Covered entities include: refineries, coal mine mouth, those entering pipeline quality natural gas into the transmission system, any importer of a covered fuels, and those who are required to report emissions of fluorinated gases. |
| | Exemption for covered fuels used on a farm for farming purposes, and non-fossil fuel green-house gas emissions which occur on a farm. |

| PROPOSAL | EMISSION TARGETS AND TIMETABLES | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | Not specified. | | |
| America Wins Act | Not specified. | | |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | Quantity o | f permits based on meeting annual emission | on targets: |
| Taning security rice | Year | Emissions Target (% below 2005 levels) | |
| | 2020 | 20% | |
| | 2025 | 30% | |
| | 2030 | 40% | |
| | 2035 | 50% | |
| | 2040 | 60% | |
| | 2045 | 70% | |
| | 2050 | 80% | |
| | continuing strophic cli | mate change. | to Congress on recommendations for on targets should be revised to avoid cata- |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Not specifi | ed. | |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | cumulative | 2022, carbon tax could be raised an addit emissions (starting in 2020) from covered emissions: | ional \$2 per metric ton biennially if actual sources are greater than the specified |
| | Year | Cumulative Emissions (MMT CO ₂ e) | |
| | 2020 | 5,177 | |
| | 2021 | 10,353 | |
| | 2022 | 15,472 | |
| | 2023 | 20,532 | |
| | 2024 | 25,592 | |
| | 2025 | 30,594 | |
| | 2026 | 35,596 | |
| | 2027 | 40,540 | |
| | 2028 | 45,484 | |
| | 2029 | 50,370 | |
| | 2030 | 55,255 | |

| PROPOSAL | EMISSION TARGETS AND TIMETABLES | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Consumers REBATE Act | Starting in 2025, the carbon tax would not be imposed for five years if the emissions reduction is greater than the emissions reduction benchmark for a specified year: | | |
| | Year | Emissions Reduction Benchmark (% below 2005 levels) | |
| | 2025 | 30% | |
| | 2030 | 40% | |
| | 2035 | 50% | |
| | 2045 | 70% | |
| | 2050 | 80% | |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | Starting in 2022, carbon tax could be raised to \$15 per metric ton annually if annual emission targets are not met. The emission target is equal to the previous year's target minus the percentage listed in the table: | | |
| | Year | Emissions Reduction Target (% of 2015 emissions) | |
| | 2022–2030 | 5% | |
| | 2031–2040 | 2.5% | |
| | 2041–2050 | 1.5% | |

| PROPOSAL | CARBON PRICE AND ESCALATION RATE |
|---|--|
| Tax Pollution, Not | Starting in 2018 at \$30 per metric ton of CO ₂ equivalent. |
| Profits Act | Increasing annually 4% above CPI inflation. |
| | Rounded to the next whole dollar. |
| America Wins Act | Starting in 2019 at \$49 per metric ton of CO ₂ content. |
| | Increasing annually 2% above CPI inflation. |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | The carbon permit price is determined by auction. The quantity of permits auctioned is equal to the amount necessary to meet an annual emissions target. |
| | The Treasury Secretary is required to hold at least four auctions a year, limit how many permits a single participant can purchase at a single auction, and set a price floor. |
| | A carbon permit can be banked for later years. |
| | If the carbon permit price increases more than 50% above the two-year average price, the Secretary can auction as many permits as needed to stabilize the price. Unsold permits in reserve must be auctioned first before additional permits can be auctioned. The auctioning of additional permits will reduce the aggregate number of permits made available in later years. |
| American | Starting in 2019 at \$50 per metric ton of CO ₂ equivalent. |
| Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Increasing annually at CPI inflation, and an additional 2% in years where emissions are greater than 20% of 2005 levels. |
| | Rounded to the next whole dollar. |
| MARKET CHOICE | Starting in 2020 at \$24 per metric ton of CO ₂ equivalent. |
| Act | Increasing annually 2% above CPI inflation. |
| | Starting in 2022, carbon tax can be adjusted by an additional \$2 per metric ton biennially if cumulative emissions are greater than the schedule (see table above). |
| Consumers REBATE | Starting in 2020 at \$25 per ton of CO ₂ content. |
| Act | Increasing \$10 per ton annually. |
| | Starting in 2025, the carbon tax would not be imposed for five years if the emissions reduction is greater than the emissions reduction benchmark for a specified year (see table above). |
| | The carbon tax could be reinstated if emissions reduction for a specified year are not met. |
| Energy Innovation | Starting at \$15 per metric ton of CO ₂ equivalent. |
| and Carbon Dividend Act | Increasing annually at \$10 per metric ton, and at \$15 per metric ton if emissions reduction target is not met in the previous year. |
| | The tax rate for fluorinated gases is 10% of the carbon fee rate for a given year. |
| | The carbon fee will be phased out once emissions from covered fuels are 90% below 2015 levels, and the monthly carbon dividend payments to an adult has been less than \$20 for 3 consecutive years. |

| PROPOSAL | TAX CREDITS OR REFUNDS |
|---|--|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | A refund can be issued in the amount of the tax for: the utilization or capture and secure storage of CO_2 from fossil fuels and manufactured goods that encapsulate CO_2 . |
| America Wins Act | A refund or credit (without interest) can be issued in the amount of the tax for a taxable carbon substance for the capture and sequester of CO_2 , or use as feedstock that has no associated emission. |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | Treasury Secretary can issue carbon permits in the amounts equivalent to the metric tons of CO ₂ that is captured and sequestered from combustion of covered fuels in the United States. |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | A refund can be issued in the amount of the carbon fee for: the utilization or capture and secure storage of CO ₂ from a covered fossil fuel, manufactured good that encapsulates CO ₂ , and export of fossil fuel product. Refunds for capture and storage or utilization are discounted by the amount of anticipated leakage. |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | Treasury Secretary can issue credit or refund in the amounts equivalent to the metric tons of CO ₂ that is captured and sequestered from combustion of covered fuels in the United States. |
| Consumers REBATE Act | A refund or credit (without interest) can be issued in the amount of the tax paid for an exported good containing or produced using a taxable carbon substance. |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | The Treasury Secretary can issue payments to the amounts equivalent to the metric tons of CO ₂ that is captured, sequestered or utilized from combustion of covered fuels in the United States. |

| PROPOSAL | BORDER ADJUSTMENT |
|---------------------------|---|
| Tax | An equivalency fee may be imposed on any imported good. |
| Pollution, Not Profits | An equivalency refund of the carbon tax may be issued on exported good. |
| Act | The Treasury Secretary should consult with the EPA Administrator, the U.S. Trade Representative, and Secretary of Energy in establishing equivalency fees and refunds. |
| America | An equivalency fee imposed on imported carbon-intensive goods. |
| Wins Act | Reimbursement of equivalency fee paid on exports. |
| | This fee expires when: an international climate agreement with equivalent measures comes into effect, when exporting countries adopt equivalent measures, or when it is deemed no longer necessary. |
| Healthy | A carbon equivalency fee imposed on imported carbon-intensive goods. |
| Climate and Family | Reimbursement for permit equivalency fee (without interest) paid on exports. |
| Security Act | This fee would expire when exporting countries adopt equivalent measures, or when it is no longer deemed necessary. |
| American | An equivalency fee imposed on imported energy-intensive manufactured goods. |
| Opportunity Carbon Fee | Refund on carbon fee on exported energy-intensive manufactured goods. |
| Act | The equivalency fee and refund will be reduced for countries that have policies that reduce GHG emissions. |
| | The Treasury Secretary must consult with the EPA Administrator and the Secretary of Energy in establishing equivalency fees and refunds. |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | A border tax adjustment is placed on imported covered goods and a rebate of the tax on exported covered goods. |
| | Covered goods are those from eligible industrial sectors (has a six-digital NAICS classification code and has a GHG intensity of at least 5%). |
| Consumers REBATE Act | An equivalency fee imposed on imported good containing or produced using a taxable carbon substance. |
| | No tax is imposed on the production or mining of a taxable carbon substance for export. |
| | This fee expires when: an international climate agreement with equivalent measures comes into effect, or when exporting countries adopt equivalent measures. |
| Energy | A carbon border fee imposed on imported covered fuels and on carbon-intensive goods. |
| Innovation and Carbon | A credit or refund (without interest) is issued to exporters of carbon-intensive goods. |
| Dividend Act | This fee would be suspended by a treaty or international agreement, or by a determination that a country has implemented a climate policy at least equivalent to the U.S. program. |

| PROPOSAL | USE OF REVENUE |
|----------------------------|--|
| Tax | Revenues from the program will be used to: |
| Pollution, Not Profits | Provide a monthly energy refund for low- and middle-income households; |
| Act | For 10 years after enactment of the Act, up to 2% of the revenues may be used to provide assistance to displaced coal workers (e.g., worker retraining, early retirement, and health benefits); and |
| | Reduce the corporate tax rate from 35% to 28%. |
| America | Revenues from the program will be used to: |
| Wins Act | Invest \$1 trillion for infrastructure investments, from 2019 to 2028; |
| | Provide \$5 billion for transition assistance to workers and communities that are heavily reliant on carbon-intensive industries, from 2019 to 2028; |
| | Use 12.5% of the revenue to provide a monthly energy refund to low-income households to offset higher energy costs; and |
| | Use remaining revenues for an individual tax rebate. For those receiving an energy refund, the refund amount will be deducted from the tax credit. |
| Healthy Climate | Revenue from the program will be used to provide a monthly dividend to individuals with a valid Social Security number (other than a nonresident alien) who are legally residing in the United States. |
| and Family Security Act | Any individual may opt out of receiving the trust fund dividend payment. |
| | The dividend would be excluded in determining gross income for tax purposes. |
| American | Revenues from the program will be used to: |
| Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Provide an annual inflation-adjusted refundable tax credit (starting at \$800 in 2019) to offset payroll taxes (rounded to the next lowest multiple of \$10); |
| | Provide Social Security, veterans, and other beneficiaries with an annual inflation-adjusted benefit starting in 2020; and |
| | Provides at least \$10 billion annually in grants to states to help low-income households and rural households address increased energy costs, transition assistance to workers and businesses in energy-intensive and fossil-fuel industries. |
| MARKET | Revenues from the program will be used to: |
| CHOICE Act | 70% for the Federal Highway Trust Fund; |
| | 10% to states in the form of grants for low-income households; |
| | 5% directed toward chronical coastal flooding mitigation and adaptation infrastructure projects; |
| | 3% for displaced worker assistance; |
| | 2.5% for the Airport and Airway Trust Fund; and |
| | Remaining will be used for R&D (e.g., carbon capture, battery storage). |

| PROPOSAL | USE OF REVENUE |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Consumers | Revenues from the program will be used to: |
| REBATE Act | Reduce the individual tax rate by about 1%; |
| | 20% of the remaining revenues will be used for worker transition assistance, non-vehicle transportation emission programs, grid and pipeline innovations and improvements, building climate resilience, and energy efficiency; |
| | 80% of the remaining revenues will be used to issue a quarterly dividend to individuals with a valid Social Security number who are legally residing in the United States. |
| Energy Innovation | Revenues from the program will be used to provide a monthly dividend to individuals with a valid Social Security number who are legally residing in the United States. |
| and Carbon Dividend Act | A carbon dividend payment is one pro-rata share for each adult and half a pro-rata share for those under 19 years old, with a limit of 2 children per household. |
| | The dividend would be included in determining gross income for tax purposes. |
| | The carbon dividend amount will not be considered income when determining eligibility for federal assistance programs. |

| PROPOSAL | TREATMENT OF FEDERAL GHG REGULATIONS | TREATMENT OF EXISTING STATE PROGRAMS |
|---|--|---|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | Not specified. | Not specified. |
| America Wins Act | Not specified. | Not specified. |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | This bill does not pre-empt GHG regulations under the Clean Air Act. | Does not preempt state and regional GHG programs. |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Not specified. | Not specified. |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | This bill will establish a rolling mortarium for most stationary source GHG regulations under the Clean Air Act. Moratorium starts upon enactment of this Act. Moratorium is lifted if emission reduction levels are not met in 2024 or 2028. The moratorium ends in 2033. | Starting in 2020, a covered entity will receive a credit for payment(s) on GHG emissions made under state programs. The amount of the credit will start at 100% of the amount paid under the state program, and then decline 20% annually. No credits will be provided beyond the fifth year. |
| Consumers REBATE Act | Not specified. | Not specified. |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | This bill will establish a mortarium for most stationary source GHG regulations under the Clean Air Act. Moratorium starts upon enactment of this Act. Moratorium is lifted in emission reduction targets are not met starting April 1, 2030 and every 5 years thereafter. | Does not preempt or supersede state law or regulation. |

| PROPOSAL | OTHER RELEVANT ITEMS |
|---|--|
| Tax Pollution, Not Profits Act | N/A |
| America Wins Act | N/A |
| Healthy Climate and Family Security Act | N/A |
| American Opportunity Carbon Fee Act | Starting in 2021, directs Treasury and EPA to establish a program to collect data on methane leakage from fossil fuel sources, and directs Treasury Secretary to increase the fee assessed on covered fossil fuel products (i.e., coal, petroleum products, and natural gas). |
| MARKET CHOICE Act | Would establish a bipartisan National Climate Commission to prepare a report to Congress, starting in 2026 and every 6 years therefore, with analysis and recommendations for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. |
| Consumers REBATE Act | Starting biennially after enactment of this Act, the EPA administrator is required to issue a report on emission reductions and expected emission reductions. Starting quinquennially after enactment of this Act, Secretary of Treasury in consultation with appropri- |
| Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act | ate state and federal agencies will issue a report on the effects of a carbon tax. Ten years after enactment of this Act, the National Academies of Science is required to prepare a report to review the carbon fee program's impacts and efficacy in meeting the emission reduction targets, and to make recommendations to reduce emissions in economic sectors where carbon emissions have not decreased. |



The Center for Climate and Energy Solutions (C2ES) is an independent, nonpartisan, nonprofit organization working to forge practical solutions to climate change. We advance strong policy and action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote clean energy, and strengthen resilience to climate impacts.